arXiv:1607.02392v1 [math.PR] 8 Jul 2016

Universal large deviations for Kac polynomials

Raphaël Butez*, Ofer Zeitouni†

July 11, 2016

Abstract

We prove the universality of the large deviations principle for the empirical measures of zeros of random polynomials whose coefficients are i.i.d. random variables possessing a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{R}^+$, under the assumption that the density does not vanish too fast at zero and decays at least as $\exp -|x|^\rho$, $\rho > 0$, at infinity.

1 Introduction and statement of the main result

Consider random polynomials of the form:

$$P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k = a_n \prod_{i=1}^{n} (z - z_i) \tag{1}$$

where $a_0, \dots, a_n$ are i.i.d. random variables and $z_1, \dots, z_n$ are the complex zeros of P_n. (Such random polynomials are often referred to as *Kac polynomials*.) There is a rich literature about the behavior of the zeros of P_n and we refer to [TV15] for a nice recent review of the subject. An important aspect of the theory is universality. For example, introduce the empirical measure of zeros:

$$\mu_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{z_k}.$$

Then, Ibragimov and Zaporozhets in [IZ13] showed that $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to the ν_{S^1}, the uniform measure on the unit circle, if and only if $\mathbb{E}(\log(1 + |a_0|)) < \infty$; that is, the limit μ_n is (modulus technical conditions) universal. Other universal properties include rescaled limits for μ_n, see [IZ97], correlation functions for the point process of zeros [TV15], and more.

Our interest in this note is in large deviations for the sequence μ_n in the space $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{C})$ equipped with the topology of weak convergence, which makes it into a Polish space. In case the coefficients (a_i) are i.i.d. standard complex random variables, Zeitouni and

*CEREMADE, UMR CNRS 7534 Université Paris-Dauphine, PSL Research university, Place du Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny 75016 Paris, FRANCE. Partially supported by an ANR grant as part of the program "Investissements Avenir" ANR-10-LABX-0098 supported by the Fondation Sciences Mathématiques de Paris.

†Department of Mathematics, Weizmann Institute of Science, POB 26, Rehovot 76100, Israel and Courant Institute, New York University, 251 Mercer Street, New York, NY 10012, USA. Partially supported by an Israel Science Foundation grant.

Zelditch[1] proved in [ZZ10] that the sequence of empirical measures of zeros (which we denote by $\mu_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ for this model) satisfies the large deviations principle (LDP) in $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{C})$ with speed n^2 and good rate function $I_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by

$$I_{\mathbb{C}}(\mu) = -\iint \left(\log|z-w| - \frac{1}{2}\log(1+|z|^2) - \frac{1}{2}\log(1+|w|^2)\right) d\mu(z)d\mu(w) + \sup_{z\in S^1} \int \left(\log|z-w|^2 - \log(1+|w|^2)\right) d\mu(w).$$

When $\int \log(1+|z|^2)d\mu(z)$ is finite, it simplifies to:

$$I_{\mathbb{C}}(\mu) = -\iint \log|z-w| d\mu(z)d\mu(w) + \sup_{z\in S^1} \int \log|z-w|^2 d\mu(w).$$

This has been extended by Butez [But15] to the case of real-valued i.i.d. standard Gaussians (a_i): the empirical measure of zeros, denoted $\mu_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ for that model, satisfies the LDP in $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{C})$ with speed n^2 and good rate function $I_{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by

$$I_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}I_{\mathbb{C}}(\mu) & \text{if } \mu \text{ is invariant under } z \mapsto \bar{z} \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Finally, when the coefficients (a_i) are i.i.d. standard exponential random variables, Ghosh and Zeitouni proved in [GZ16] that the sequence of empirical measures of zeros, denoted by $\mu_n^{\mathbb{R}^+}$, satisfies the LDP in $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{C})$ with speed n^2 and good rate function $I_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ defined by:

$$I_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\mu) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}I_{\mathbb{C}}(\mu) & \text{if } \mu \in \bar{\mathcal{P}} \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the set of empirical measures of zeros of polynomials with positive coefficients and $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is its closure for the weak topology. (An explicit description of $\mathcal{P}$ is provided in [BE15].)

Apart for the models described above, to our knowledge no other LDPs for the empirical measure of zeros of Kac polynomials appear in the literature. (In a different direction, Zelditch [Zel13] extended the results of [ZZ10] to the case of Riemann surfaces, and Feng and Zelditch [FZ11] studied some cases of non-i.i.d. coefficients in the context of more general $P(\phi)_2$ random polynomials.)

Our main result concerns the universality of the above large deviation principles, under mild technical conditions.

Theorem 1.1. *Let E be $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{R}^+$. Let $a_0, \dots, a_n$ be i.i.d. random variables with a density g with respect to the Lebesgue measure on E. Assume that:*

1. *There exist $\rho > 0$, $r > 0$ and $R > 0$ such that*

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad g(z) \leq \exp(-r|z|^\rho + R), \tag{2}$$

2. *There exits $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\lambda > 0$:*

$$\int 1_{|x|\leq\delta} \frac{1}{g(x)^\lambda} d\ell_E(x) < \infty \tag{3}$$

[1] In fact, [ZZ10] work in $\mathbb{CP}^1$ and consider more general ensembles of holomorphic polynomial with Gaussian coefficients, but it is not hard to check that their result, when specialized to Kac polynomials with complex Gaussian coefficients, is equivalent to the one here; this is implicitly stated in [ZZ10] and explicitely checked in [But15].

Then the sequence of empirical measures $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies a large deviations principle in $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{C})$ with speed n^2 and rate function I_E.

The second assumption in Theorem 1.1 means that either the density g does not vanish around zero or, if it vanishes, g is greater than any $|x|^a$ in a neighborhood of zero.

Before describing the (simple) ideas behind the proof of Theorem 1.1, we explain some of the background and why we find the theorem somewhat surprising. The proof of the LDPs in the Gaussian and Exponential cases is based on an explicit expression for the joint distributions of zeros, that we review below. Given that expression, the proofs of the LDP follow (with some detours) a track well explored in the case of the empirical measure of eigenvalues of random matrices. For the latter, large deviations have been extensively studied, initially by Ben Arous and Guionnet [BAG97], Ben Arous and Zeitouni [BAZ98] and Hiai and Petz [HP00]. Recently, the large deviations for the empirical measure were proved for Wigner matrices with entries possessing heavier-than-Gaussian tails by Bordenave and Caputo [BC14], with a rate function depending on the tail of the entries. Very similar results were obtained by Groux [Gro15] for Wishart matrices. In particular, it follows from these results that in the random matrix setup, the rate function is known to not be universal; this is in sharp contrast with Theorem 1.1.

As mentioned above, the LDP for Kac polynomials in the Gaussian and exponential coefficients cases begin with an explicit expression for the density of zeros, which we now explain. We concentrate first on the case of complex Gaussian coefficients. Note that the second equality in (1) gives an $n!$-to-1 map between $(a_n, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $(a_0, \dots, a_n)$. A classical computation of the Jacobian followed by integration over a_n, see e.g. [BBL92], [But15],[FH99], [ZZ10], shows that the distribution of $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ possesses a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure $d\ell_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ given by:

$$\frac{n!}{\pi^n}\frac{\prod_{i<j}|z_i - z_j|^2}{\left(\int\prod_{k=1}^{n}|z - z_k|^2 d\nu_{S^1}(z)\right)^{n+1}} = \frac{n!}{\pi^n}\frac{\prod_{i<j}|z_i - z_j|^2}{\|\tilde{a}\|_2^{2n+2}} \tag{4}$$

where $\tilde{a} = (a_0/a_n, \dots, a_{n-1}/a_n, 1)$ is a continuous function of $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ given explicitely by Vieta's formula.

In the case of real Gaussian coefficients, the probability of having k real zeros is positive for k having the same parity of n. Following a computation of Zaporozhet in [Zap04], one obtains that the distribution of the roots of P_n is given by:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\frac{2^k\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{k!(n-2k)!\pi^{(n-1)/2}}\frac{\prod_{i<j}|z_i - z_j|}{(\int\prod_{i=1}^{n}|z - z_i|^2 d\nu_{S^1})^{(n+1)/2}}d\ell_{n,k}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\frac{2^k\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{k!(n-2k)!\pi^{(n-1)/2}}\frac{\prod_{i<j}|z_i - z_j|}{\|\tilde{a}\|_2^{n+1}}d\ell_{n,k}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

where

$$d\ell_{n,k}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = d\ell_{\mathbb{R}}(z_1)\dots d\ell_{\mathbb{R}}(z_{n-2k})d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(z_{n-k})\dots d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(z_n). \tag{5}$$

Note that the k-th term of the mixture corresponds to the case where P_n has $n - 2k$ real roots.

Finally, in the case of positive exponential real coefficients, the distribution of the

vector of the zeros is given by:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor} \frac{2^k n!}{k!(n-2k)!} \frac{\prod_{i<j}|z_i - z_j|}{(\prod_{i=1}^n |1-z_i|)^{(n+1)}} d\ell_{n,k}(z_1,\dots,z_n)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor} \frac{2^k n!}{k!(n-2k)!} \frac{\prod_{i<j}|z_i - z_j|}{\|\tilde{a}\|_1^{n+1}} d\ell_{n,k}(z_1,\dots,z_n).$$

Main idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1. We will prove the universality of the LDP by comparing the distributions of the vectors of the zeros in the different models. Assume one could find two sequences $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log b_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log c_n = 0 \tag{6}$$

and two probability densities on $\mathbb{C}^n$, F_n and G_n satisfying:

$$\forall (z_1,\dots,z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \qquad b_n F_n(z_1,\dots,z_n) \le p(z_1,\dots,z_n) \le c_n G_n(z_1,\dots,z_n) \tag{7}$$

such that, under the distribution given by F_n or G_n, the sequence of empirical measures $(\mu_n^{F_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(\mu_n^{G_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies a LDP in $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{C})$, with speed n^2 and the same rate function I. Then, the sequence $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies a LDP in $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{C})$ with speed n^2 and rate function I, since for any set A, $\mathbb{P}(\mu_n \in A)$ is an integral with respect to the distribution of the zeros and therefore one can use the bounds (7) to obtain the LDP.

In practice, we will obtain (7) by noting that if the joint distribution of the coefficients is a function of a norm $\|.\|$ of the vector of the coefficients, the distribution of the zeros is roughly of the form:

$$\frac{\prod_{i<j}|z_i - z_j|^2}{\|\tilde{a}\|^{2n+2}}.$$

If $\|.\|$ can be compared with $\|.\|_2$ with nice constants, we can can relate the density of zeros by one that is closer to the Gaussian or exponential cases in the spirit of (7). For i.i.d. variables, the first hypothesis of the theorem is used to replace the joint distribution of the coefficients by a function of $\|a\|_\rho$ and then we prove the upper bound for the latter distribution. The second hypothesis means that, for the lower bound, we can replace the joint distribution of a by a $1_{\|a\|_\infty \le \delta}$ which is also a function of a norm.

We conclude this introduction by stating and proving a technical lemma that will be used in the proof of the LDP lower bound.

Lemma 1.2. *Let E be $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{R}^+$. Let $X_0,\dots,X_n$ be i.i.d. random variables, uniformly distributed on the disk of center 0 and radius δ of E. Assume that there exits $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\lambda > 0$,*

$$c(\lambda) := \int 1_{|x|\le\delta} \frac{1}{g(x)^\lambda} d\ell_E(x) < \infty. \tag{8}$$

Then, for any $K > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 = n_0(K,\delta,\varepsilon)$ such that for all $n > n_0$,

$$\int 1_{\{\prod_{k=0}^n g(a_i) \le e^{-\varepsilon n^2}\}} 1_{\|a\|_\infty < \delta} d\ell_{E^n}(a_0,\dots,a_n) \le e^{-Kn^2}. \tag{9}$$

Proof of Lemma 1.2. Fix $K > 0$, micking the proof of Chernoff's inequality, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\int 1_{\{\prod_{k=0}^n g(a_i)\leq e^{-\varepsilon n^2}\}} 1_{\|a\|_\infty<\delta} d\ell_{E^{n+1}}(a_0,\dots,a_n) \\ &= \int 1_{\{\prod_{k=0}^n g(a_i)^{-\lambda}\geq e^{\lambda\varepsilon n^2}\}} 1_{\|a\|_\infty<\delta} d\ell_{E^{n+1}}(a_0,\dots,a_n) \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda\varepsilon n^2}\int \prod_{k=0}^n \left[g(a_k)^{-\lambda}1_{|a_k|<\delta}\right] d\ell_{E^{n+1}}(a_0,\dots,a_n) \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda\varepsilon n^2}e^{(n+1)c(\lambda)}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed by taking λ large enough so that $\lambda\varepsilon > K$ and then taking n_0 large enough so that $n!e^{-\lambda\varepsilon n^2}e^{(n+1)c(\lambda)} \leq e^{-Kn^2}$ for all $n > n_0$. □

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof of the main theorem is made in two steps: we start by proving the theorem when the coefficients are complex, and then we treat the real and the positive case. The proof of the three cases are very similar, the arguments and ideas are exactly the same.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. **Complex coefficients.**
Recall that the density of the distribution of the random vector of zeros $(z_1,\dots,z_n)$ (taken at random uniform order) with respect to $\ell_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ is given by

$$p(z_1,\dots,z_n) = \int \prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|^2|a_n|^{2n}g(a_0)\dots g(a_n)d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_n)$$

where the a_j's are seen as functions of z_1, …, z_n and a_n using Vieta's formula. See e.g. [HKPV09, Lemma 1.1.1 p3] for a proof of this classical result.
Upper Bound. Using the inequality (2), we obtain:

$$p(z_1,\dots,z_n) \leq \int \prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|^2|a_n|^{2n}\exp(-r\sum_{k=0}^n|a_k|^\rho)e^{(n+1)R}d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_n)$$

For a vector $b = (b_0,\dots,b_n)$ and $\rho > 0$, set $\|b\|_\rho = (\sum_{i=0}^n |b_i|^\rho)^{1/\rho}$. Then,

$$\int \prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|^2|a_n|^{2n}\exp(-r\sum_{k=0}^n|a_k|^\rho)d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_n) = \int \prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|^2|a_n|^{2n}\exp(-r|a_n|^\rho\|\tilde{a}\|_\rho^\rho)d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_n)$$

where $\tilde{a} = (a_0/a_n,\dots,a_{n-1}/a_n,1)$. We note that $\tilde{a}$ only depends on the zeros and not on a_n, so we can compute the last integral using the change of variables $u = a_n\|\tilde{a}\|_\rho$ to obtain:

$$p(z_1,\dots,z_n) \leq e^{(n+1)R}\frac{\prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|^2}{\|\tilde{a}\|_\rho^{2n+2}}\int |u|^{2n}e^{-r|u|^\rho}d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(u).$$

Finally, using the classical inequalities on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{if } \rho > 2, \quad \|.\|_\rho \geq \frac{1}{n^{1/2-1/\rho}}\|.\|_2 \\ &\text{if } \rho \leq 2, \quad \|.\|_\rho \geq \|.\|_2\end{aligned}$$

we obtain that there exists a sequence $(\gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that, for any $\rho > 0$,

$$\|.\|_\rho \geq \gamma_n \|.\|_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \gamma_n = 0. \tag{10}$$

Using this inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} p(z_1, \dots, z_n) &\leq e^{(n+1)R} \frac{\prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2}{\|\tilde{a}\|_2^{2n+2}} \frac{1}{\gamma_n^{2n+2}} \int |u|^{2n} e^{-r|u|^\rho} d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(u) \\ &\leq e^{(n+1)R} \frac{n!}{\pi^{n+1}} \frac{\prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2}{\|\tilde{a}\|_2^{2n+2}} \times \frac{\pi^{n+1}}{n!\gamma_n^{2n+2}} \int |u|^{2n} e^{-r|u|^\rho} d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(u). \end{aligned}$$

The first term of the product is the distribution $\mu_n^{\mathbb{C}}$, see (4), and thanks to (10) we have

$$c_n := e^{(n+1)R} \frac{\pi^{n+1}}{n!\gamma_n^{2n+2}} \int |u|^{2n} e^{-r|u|^\rho} d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(u) = e^{O(n \log n)}.$$

Let $A \subset \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{C})$ be a Borel set. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n^2} \log \mathbb{P}(\mu_n \in A) =& \frac{1}{n^2} \log \int 1_{\mu_n \in A} p(z_1, \dots, z_n) d\ell_{\mathbb{C}^n}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ \leq& \frac{1}{n^2} \log \int 1_{\mu_n \in A} \frac{n!}{\pi^{n+1}} \frac{\prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2}{\left(\int \prod_{k=1}^n |z - z_k|^2 d\nu_{S^1}(z)\right)^{n+1}} d\ell_{\mathbb{C}^n}(z_1, \dots, z_n) + \frac{\log c_n}{n^2} \\ =& \frac{1}{n^2} \log \mathbb{P}(\mu_n^{\mathbb{C}} \in A) + \frac{\log c_n}{n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the LDP upper bound for $\mu_n^{\mathbb{C}}$, we complete the proof of the upper bound by noting that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log \mathbb{P}(\mu_n \in A) \leq - \inf_{\mathbf{clo}A} I_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Lower Bound. First, we show that the technical lemma allows us to reduce the problem to the proof of the lower bound for i.i.d. (a_i), with uniform distribution on the disk $D(0, \delta)$. Let $A \subset \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{C})$ be a Borel set with $\inf_{\text{int}A} I_{\mathbb{C}} < \infty$, fix $K > \inf_{\text{int}A} I_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ then, thanks to Lemma 1.2 there exists n_0 such that for any $n > n_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\mu_n \in A) &= \int 1_{\mu_n \in A} \prod_{k=0}^n g(a_k) d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_0) \dots d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_n) \\ &\geq \int 1_{\{\prod_{k=0}^n g(a_i) \geq e^{-\varepsilon n^2}\}} 1_{\mu_n \in A} 1_{\|a\|_\infty < \delta} \prod_{k=0}^n g(a_k) d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_0) \dots d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_n) \\ &\geq e^{-\varepsilon n^2} \int 1_{\{\prod_{k=0}^n g(a_i) \geq e^{-\varepsilon n^2}\}} 1_{\mu_n \in A} 1_{\|a\|_\infty < \delta} d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_0) \dots d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_n) \\ &\geq e^{-\varepsilon n^2} \int 1_{\mu_n \in A} 1_{\|a\|_\infty < \delta} d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_0) \dots d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_n) - e^{-(K+\varepsilon)n^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The integral $\int 1_{\mu_n \in A} 1_{\|a\|_\infty < \delta} d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_0) \dots d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_n)$ is, up to a normalizing factor $(\pi\delta^2)^{n+1}$ which is of order $e^{O(n)}$, the probability that the empirical mesure of the zeros of a random polynomial with i.i.d. uniform coefficients on the disk $D(0, \delta)$ belongs in A.

Now we deal with this integral using the same techniques used for the upper bound:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int 1_{\mu_n\in A} 1_{\|a\|_\infty<\delta} d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_0)\dots d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_n) \\
&\qquad = \int 1_{\mu_n\in A}\prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|^2 \int 1_{|a_n|\|\tilde{a}\|_\infty<\delta}|a_n|^{2n} d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_n) d\ell_{\mathbb{C}^n}(z_1,\dots,z_n) \\
&\qquad = \int 1_{\mu_n\in A}\frac{\prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|^2}{\|\tilde{a}\|_\infty^{2n+2}} d\ell_{\mathbb{C}^n}(z_1,\dots,z_n)\int |u|^{2n}1_{|u|<\delta} d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(u) \\
&\qquad = \frac{n!}{\pi^{n+1}}\int 1_{\mu_n\in A}\frac{\prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|^2}{\|\tilde{a}\|_2^{2n+2}} d\ell_{\mathbb{C}^n}(z_1,\dots,z_n)\frac{\pi^{n+1}}{n!}\int |u|^{2n}1_{|u|<\delta} d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(u) \\
&\qquad = \mathbb{P}(\mu_n^{\mathbb{C}}\in A)\frac{\pi^{n+1}}{n!}\int |u|^{2n}1_{|u|<\delta} d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the change of variables $u = \|\tilde{a}\|_\infty a_n$, using the fact that $\|\tilde{a}\|_\infty$ does not depend on a_n and the inequality $\|.\|_\infty \leq \|.\|_2$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\left(\frac{\pi^{n+1}}{n!}\int |u|^{2n}1_{|u|<\delta} d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(u)\right) = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\left(\int 1_{\mu_n\in A}\prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|^2 \int 1_{|a_n|\|\tilde{a}\|_\infty<\delta}|a_n|^{2n} d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_n) d\ell_{\mathbb{C}^n}(z_1,\dots,z_n)\right) \geq -\inf_{\text{int}A} I_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Combined with (11) we obtain

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\mathbb{P}(\mu_n\in A) \geq -\varepsilon - \inf_{\text{int}A} I_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Taking the limit as ε goes to zero completes the proof of the lower bound.

Real coefficients. Let E be $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{R}^+$. The proof for real coefficients is essentially the same as for complex coefficients, except that the distribution of the roots is a mixture of measures instead of an absolutely continuous measure. We will apply the same ideas to each term of the mixture to obtain the upper and lower bound. If the coefficients a_k's are i.i.d. random variables with density g with respect to the Lebesgue measure on E, then the distribution of the vector $(z_1,\dots,z_n,a_n)$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\frac{2^k}{k!(n-2k)!}|a_n|^n\prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|\prod_{k=0}^{n}g(a_i)d\ell_E(a_n)d\ell_{n,k}(z_1,\dots,z_n) \\
&\qquad = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\frac{2^k}{k!(n-2k)!}p_{n,k}(z_1,\dots,z_n,a_n)d\ell_E(a_n)d\ell_{n,k}(z_1,\dots,z_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Using exactly the same reasoning as in the complex case, we define θ_n^E as:

$$\theta_n^E = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi^{(n-1)/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})} & \text{if } E=\mathbb{R} \\ \frac{1}{n!} & \text{if } E=\mathbb{R}^+ \end{cases}$$

and we notice that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\theta_n^E = 0.$$

We obtain that for any k:

$$\int p_{n,k}(z_1,\dots,z_n)d\ell_E(a_n) \leq \frac{1}{\theta_n^E}\frac{\prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|}{(\int\prod_{i=1}^n|z-z_i|^2d\nu_{S^1})^{(n+1)/2}}\theta_n^E.$$

This inequality implies that, for any Borel set $A \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{C})$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\mu_n \in A) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\frac{2^k}{k!(n-2k)!}\int 1_{\mu_n\in A}\int p_{n,k}(z_1,\dots,z_n)d\ell_E(a_n)d\ell_{n,k}(z_1,\dots,z_n)\\ &\leq \theta_n^E\mathbb{P}(\mu_n^E\in A).\end{aligned}$$

Using the large deviations principle for $(\mu_n^E)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ ends the proof of the upper bound.

The proof of the lower bound is very similar to the complex case, we use the technical lemma to deal with i.i.d. uniform random variables on the disk $D(0,\delta)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\mu_n\in A) &= \int 1_{\mu_n\in A}\prod_{k=0}^n g(a_k)d\ell_E(a_0)\dots d\ell_E(a_n)\\ &\geq \int 1_{\{\prod_{k=0}^n g(a_i)\geq e^{-\varepsilon n^2}\}}1_{\mu_n\in A}1_{\|a\|_\infty<\delta}\prod_{k=0}^n g(a_k)d\ell_E(a_0)\dots d\ell_E(a_n)\\ &\geq e^{-\varepsilon n^2}\int 1_{\mu_n\in A}1_{\|a\|_\infty<\delta}d\ell_E(a_0)\dots d\ell_E(a_n) - e^{-(K+\varepsilon)n^2}. \qquad (12)\end{aligned}$$

We transform this integral in order to compare it to one of the known cases.

$$\begin{aligned}&\int 1_{\mu_n\in A}1_{\|a\|_\infty<\delta}d\ell_E(a_0)\dots d\ell_E(a_n)\\ &\quad= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\frac{2^k}{k!(n-2k)!}\int 1_{\mu_n\in A}1_{\|a\|_\infty<\delta}|a_n|^n\prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|d\ell_E(a_n)d\ell_{n,k}(z_1,\dots,z_n)\\ &\quad= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\frac{2^k}{k!(n-2k)!}\int|u|^{n+1}1_{|u|<\delta}d\ell_E(u)\int 1_{\mu_n\in A}\frac{\prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|}{\|\tilde{a}\|_\infty^{n+1}}d\ell_{n,k}(z_1,\dots,z_n).\end{aligned}$$

If $E=\mathbb{R}$, we use the inequality $\|.\|_\infty \leq \|.\|_2$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int 1_{\mu_n\in A}1_{\|a\|_\infty<\delta}d\ell_{\mathbb{R}}(a_0)\dots d\ell_{\mathbb{R}}(a_n)\\ &\quad\geq \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\frac{2^k}{k!(n-2k)!}\int|u|^{n+1}1_{|u|<\delta}d\ell_{\mathbb{R}}(u)\int 1_{\mu_n\in A}\frac{\prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|}{\|\tilde{a}\|_2^{n+1}}d\ell_{n,k}(z_1,\dots,z_n).\end{aligned}$$

If $E=\mathbb{R}^+$, we use the inequality $\|.\|_\infty \leq \|.\|_1$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int 1_{\mu_n\in A}1_{\|a\|_\infty<\delta}d\ell_{\mathbb{R}^+}(a_0)\dots d\ell_{\mathbb{R}^+}(a_n)\\ &\quad\geq \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\frac{2^k}{k!(n-2k)!}\int|u|^{n+1}1_{|u|<\delta}d\ell_{\mathbb{R}^+}(u)\int 1_{\mu_n\in A}\frac{\prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|}{\|\tilde{a}\|_1^{n+1}}d\ell_{n,k}(z_1,\dots,z_n).\end{aligned}$$

Note that the only difference between the case $\mathbb{R}$ and the case $\mathbb{R}^+$ is the reference norm employed. Using (12) with the last two inequalities, we obtain that for any $\varepsilon>0$ fixed, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\mathbb{P}(\mu_n\in A) &\geq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\mathbb{P}(\mu_n^E\in A)+\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\theta_n^E-\varepsilon\\ &\geq -\inf_{\text{int}A}I_E-\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as ε goes to zero ends the proof of the large deviations lower bound for the real and positive cases. □

3 Concluding remarks and an open problem.

We focused in this note on Kac polynomials but we could as well study the universality of the large deviations for the zeros of

$$P_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k R_k$$

where the R_k's are orthogonal polynomials satisfying the assumptions of regularity given in [ZZ10] and [But15]. In this case, the distribution of the zeros can be computed ([But15, Theorem 5.1]) and, under the same hypotheses as in Theorem 1.1, the same large deviations principle as for Gaussian coefficients holds. Similar ideas apply to certain non i.i.d. models such as the $P(\phi)_2$ model of [FZ11].

A significant limitation of our approach is the use of the assumption (3) in Theorem 1.1. While it is possible that it can be relaxed, we note that some assumption of this type is necessary for the universality resut. Indeed, if the support of the distribution of the coefficients is inside an annulus, it follows from Jensen's formula, see [HN08], that μ_n converges deterministically towards ν_{S^1}. Hence, no non-trivial LDP can hold in this case. An interesting test case is the case where the i.i.d. coefficients possess the density $|z|^\alpha 1_{|z|<\delta}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. In that case, the distribution of the zeros $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$ with density proportional to:

$$\prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2 \int |a_n|^{2n} \prod |a_i|^\alpha 1_{\|a\|_\infty < \delta} d\ell_{\mathbb{C}}(a_n) = \frac{\prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2}{\|\tilde{a}\|_\infty^{2n+2+n\alpha}} \prod_{k=0}^{n} \frac{|a_k|}{|a_n|}.$$

If we are able to prove that the term $\prod_{k=0}^{n} \frac{|a_k|}{|a_n|}$ does not contribute to the large deviations, then a LDP at speed n^2 would follow with rate function

$$I_\alpha(\mu) = -\iint \log|z-w| d\mu(z) d\mu(w) + (2+\alpha) \sup_{z \in S^1} \int \log|z-w| d\mu(w).$$

In particular, we do not expect universality in that case. We have not been able to carry out the analysis of this setup.

References

[BAG97] Gérard Ben Arous and Alice Guionnet. Large deviations for Wigner's law and Voiculescu's non-commutative entropy. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 108(4):517–542, 1997.

[BAZ98] Gérard Ben Arous and Ofer Zeitouni. Large deviations from the circular law. *ESAIM: Probability and Statistics*, 2:123–134, 1998.

[BBL92] E Bogomolny, O Bohigas, and P Leboeuf. Distribution of roots of random polynomials. *Physical Review Letters*, 68(18):2726, 1992.

[BC14] Charles Bordenave and Pietro Caputo. A large deviation principle for wigner matrices without gaussian tails. *The Annals of Probability*, 42(6):2454–2496, 2014.

[BE15] W. Bergweiler and A. Eremenko. Distrbution of zeros of polynomials with positive coefficients. *Annales Academiae Scientiarium Fennicae*, 40:375–383, 2015.

[But15] Raphaël Butez. Large deviations for the empirical measure of random polynomials: revisit of the Zeitouni-Zelditch theorem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.09136*, 2015.

[FH99] PJ Forrester and G Honner. Exact statistical properties of the zeros of complex random polynomials. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 32(16):2961, 1999.

[FZ11] Renjie Feng and Steve Zelditch. Large deviations for zeros of p (ϕ) 2 random polynomials. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 143(4):619–635, 2011.

[Gro15] Benjamin Groux. Asymptotic freeness for rectangular random matrices and large deviations for sample covariance matrices with sub-gaussian tails. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1505.05733*, 2015.

[GZ16] Subhroshekhar Ghosh and Ofer Zeitouni. Large deviations for zeros of random polynomials with iid exponential coefficients. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 26:1308–1347, 2016.

[HKPV09] J. Ben Hough, Manjunath Krishnapur, Yuval Peres, and Bálint Virág. *Zeros of Gaussian analytic functions and determinantal point processes*, volume 51 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009.

[HN08] Christopher Hughes and Ashkan Nikeghbali. The zeros of random polynomials cluster uniformly near the unit circle. *Compositio Mathematica*, 144(03):734–746, 2008.

[HP00] Fumio Hiai and Dénes Petz. *The semicircle law, free random variables and entropy*, volume 77. American Mathematical Society Providence, 2000.

[IZ97] Ildar Ibragimov and Ofer Zeitouni. On roots of random polynomials. *Trans. American Math. Soc.*, 349:2427–2441, 1997.

[IZ13] Ildar Ibragimov and Dmitry Zaporozhets. On distribution of zeros of random polynomials in complex plane. In *Prokhorov and contemporary probability theory*, pages 303–323. Springer, 2013.

[TV15] Terence Tao and Van Vu. Local universality of zeroes of random polynomials. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 25:5053–5139, 2015.

[Zap04] Dmitry Zaporozhets. On the distribution of the number of real roots of a random polynomial. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 320(Veroyatn. i Stat. 8):69–79, 227, 2004.

[Zel13] Steve Zelditch. Large deviations of empirical measures of zeros on Riemann surfaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 23:592–664, 2013.

[ZZ10] Ofer Zeitouni and Steve Zelditch. Large deviations of empirical measures of zeros of random polynomials. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (20):3935–3992, 2010.